

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspr.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 08/833,506 04/07/1997 ROBERT WEBBER 14291 2615

28061 THEODORE J. BIELEN JR. 1390 WILLOW PASS ROAD **SUITE 1020**

CONCORD, CA 94520

EXAMINER HUFF, SHEELA JITENDRA ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1643 DATE MAILED: 09/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
•	08/833,506	WEBBER, ROBERT
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sheela J. Huff	1643
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	•	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3/	1/04.	
·_ · _ ·	his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal ma	atters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>82-106</u> is/are pending in the applic	ation.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) 82-106 is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a		o by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	•	•
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	=, ,	• •
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	an priority under 35 U.S.C.	& 119(a)-(d) or (f)
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	g., p., u., ac, ee e.e.e.	3 (4) (3) (1)
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage		
application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a l	ist of the certified copies no	ot received.
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)
 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 		o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other: _	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
S. Patent and Trademark Office TOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Office	Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 090105

Art Unit: 1643

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The amendment filed on 3/1/04 has been considered. Applicant's arguments are deemed to be persuasive-in-part.

Claims 82-106 are pending.

All of the rejections are withdrawn and re-applied to the newly filed claims. This is detailed below.

Sequence listing

On page 32 the sequence at region 25-42 needs a SEQ ID No.

Applicant did not address this issue.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 82-91 and 94-95 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- a. In claim 83, what does applicant mean by "polymer mimicking an artificial antibody" and "phage display binding sites"? Polymers are polymers (organic compounds) not antibodies,
- **b**. In claims 84-85 and 95, the third sequence needs a SEQ Id No.
- c. In claims 82 and 83, the terminology "particular binding monoclonal antibody" renders the claim vague and indefinite. What does applicant mean by "particular"?

The amendments filed 3/1/04 have been considered. The amendments did not address the above issues.

Art Unit: 1643

Claim Rejections - 35 USC 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 82-83, 86-88, 103 and 106 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by WO 94/23038 (Moncada et al.) or Kobzik et al Am. J. Respir. Cell Mol. Biol. vol. 9 p. 371 (1993) or Fujisawa et al J. Neurochemistry vol. 64 p. 85 (1995). The reasons for this rejection are as applied to claims 1-7, 12, 18 and 21 in paper no. 5, mailed 5/8/98.

Applicant has not provided any arguments to this rejection.

Claims 82, 86-88, 103 and 106 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ikeda Tojo Medical Journal vol. 65 p. 433 (6/95). The reasons for this rejection are as applied to claims 1, 4-7, 12, 18 and 21 in paper no. 5, mailed 5/8/98.

Applicant has not provided any arguments to this rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 1643

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148

USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating

obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 82-83, 86-88, 103 and 106 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ikeda Tojo Medical Journal vol. 65 p. 433 (6/95) or Kobzik et al Am. J. Respir. Cell Mol. Biol. vol. 9 p. 371 (1993) or Fujisawa et al J. Neurochemistry vol. 64 p. 85 (1995). The reasons for this rejection are as applied to claims 1-2, 4-7, 12, 18 and 21 in paper no. 5, mailed 5/8/98.

Applicant has not provided any arguments to this rejection.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double

Art Unit: 1643

patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 82-106 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 3 and 4 of U.S. Patent No.6531578. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the only difference between the two is that the specific binding entity of the instant invention can be other things in addition to an antibody.

Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sheela J. Huff whose telephone number is 571-272-0834. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesdays and Thursdays from 5:30am to 2:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Larry Helms can be reached on 571-272-0832. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 08/833,506 Page 6

Art Unit: 1643

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sheela J Huff Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1643

sjh